

Lecture 10: Sequence-to-Sequence Modeling with **Encoder-Decoder Architectures**

Instructor: Swabha Swayamdipta USC CSCI 544 Applied NLP Sep 26, Fall 2024

Some slides adapted from Dan Jurafsky and Chris Manning



Announcements

Tonight at 11:59 PM PT: Project Proposal Due

- Once you propose an idea, you're NOT allowed to completely change it Allowed to make modifications, based on our recommendations
- Next week: Quiz 3
 - Before that: Install Lockdown Browser
 - Cannot take Quiz 3 otherwise
 - Free quiz points!!
- Quiz grades: Please see your total grades and do not be confused by Brightspace options for the correct answer



Lecture Outline

- Announcements
- Recap: Recurrent Neural Nets
- Applications of RNNs
- Seq2Seq Modeling with Encoder-Decoder Networks
- Attention Mechanism
- More on Attention
- Transformers: Self-Attention Networks



Recap: Recurrent Neural Nets


USCViterbi



Output layer: $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_t = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W}^{[2]}\mathbf{h}_t)$

Hidden layer: $\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{W}_h \mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{W}^{[1]} \mathbf{x}_t)$

Initial hidden state: \mathbf{h}_0



Word Embeddings, \mathbf{X}_i





RNN Advantages:

- Can process any length input
- Model size doesn't increase for longer input
- Computation for step t can (in theory) use information from many steps back
- Weights \mathbf{W}_h are shared (tied) across h_0 timesteps \rightarrow Condition the neural network on all previous words
- Only need to save previous hidden state in memory (as opposed to an entire window)







book

Why RNNs?

 $\hat{y}_4 = P(x_5 | \text{The students studied the})$








RNN Disadvantages:

- Recurrent computation is slow
- In practice, difficult to access information from many steps back





Training Outline

Get a big corpus of text which is a sequence of words x₁, x₂, ...x_T
Feed into RNN-LM; compute output distribution ŷ_t for every step t

i.e. predict probability distribution of every word, given words so far

Loss function on step t is usual cross-entropy between our predicted probability distribution ŷ_t, and the true next word y_t = x_{t+1}:


$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}_t, y_t; \theta) = -\sum_{v \in V} \mathbb{I}[y_{t}]$$

• Average this to get overall loss for entire training set:



 $y_t = v \log \hat{y}_t = -\log p_{\theta}(x_{t+1} | x_{\leq t})$

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_{CE}(\hat{y}_t, y_t)$$




/iterbi



Training RNNs is hard: Parallelizability

- Forward and backward passes have **O(sequence length)** unparallelizable operations! • GPUs can perform a bunch of independent computations at once! • But future RNN hidden states can't be computed in full before past RNN hidden

 - states have been computed




Numbers indicate min # of steps before a state can be computed






- Multiply the same matrix at each time step during forward propagation
 - Advantage: Inputs from many time steps ago can modify
 - output y
 - Disadvantage: The vanishing gradient problem








The Vanishing Gradient Problem: Intuition




 $\frac{\partial L_4}{=}$ ∂h_0









12

USC Viterbi

$$\frac{h_2}{h_1} \times \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial h_2} \times \frac{\partial L_4}{\partial h_3}$$

$$\frac{h_2}{h_1} \times \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial h_2} \times \frac{\partial h_4}{\partial h_3} \times \frac{\partial L_4}{\partial h_4}$$

When these gradients are small, the gradient signal gets smaller and smaller as it backpropagates further...

Gradient signal from far away is lost because it's much smaller than gradient signal from close-by







Long Short-Term Memory RNNs (LSTMs)

- At time step t, introduces a new cell state $\mathbf{c}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$
 - In addition to a hidden state $\mathbf{h}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$
 - The cell stores long-term information (memory) • The LSTM can read, erase, and write information from the cell! • The cell becomes conceptually rather like RAM in a computer
- The selection of which information is erased/written/read is controlled by three corresponding gates:
 - Input gate $\mathbf{i}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, Output gate $\mathbf{0}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and Forget gate $\mathbf{f}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ • Each element of the gates can be open (1), closed (0), or somewhere in between • The gates are dynamic: their value is computed based on the current context





LSTMs

Given a sequence of inputs x_t , we will compute a sequence of hidden states h_t and cell states c_t At timestep t : **Sigmoid function**: all gate

Forget gate: controls what is kept vs forgotten, from previous cell state

Input gate: controls what parts of the new cell content are written to cell

Output gate: controls what parts of cell are output to hidden state

New cell content: this is the new content to be written to the cell

<u>Cell state</u>: erase ("forget") some content from last cell state, and write ("input") some new cell content

Hidden state: read ("output") some content from the cell




values are between 0 and 1 $egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} eta^{(t)} &= \sigma \left(egin{aligned} elambda_f eta^{(t-1)} + eta_f eta^{(t)} + eta_f
ight) \ egin{aligned} eta^{(t)} &= \sigma \left(egin{aligned} elambda_i eta^{(t-1)} + eta_i eta^{(t)} + eta_i
ight) \ eta^{(t)} &= \sigma \left(egin{aligned} elambla_o eta^{(t-1)} + eta_o eta^{(t)} + eta_o
ight) \end{aligned}$ same length n vectors of are $ilde{m{c}}^{(t)} = anh\left(m{W}_c m{h}^{(t-1)} + m{U}_c m{x}^{(t)} + m{b}_c
ight)$ $ilde{m{c}}^{(t)} = m{f}^{(t)} \circ m{c}^{(t-1)} + m{i}^{(t)} \circ m{ ilde{m{c}}^{(t)}$ All these $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{h}^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{o}^{(t)} \circ \tanh \boldsymbol{c}^{(t)}$ Gates are applied using element-wise (or Hadamard) product: ••




Summarizing RNNs

- Recurrent Neural Networks processes sequences one element at a time
- RNNs do not have
 - the limited context problem of *n*-gram models
 - the fixed context limitation of feedforward LMs
 - since the hidden state can in principle represent information about all of the preceding words all the way back to the beginning of the sequence
- But training RNNs is hard
 - Vanishing gradient problem
 - LSTMs address it by incorporating a memory







Lecture Outline

- Announcements
- Recap: Recurrent Neural Nets
- Applications of RNNs
- Seq2Seq Modeling with Encoder-Decoder Networks
- Attention Mechanism
- More on Attention
- Transformers: Self-Attention Networks



Applications of RNNs


USCViterbi




RNNs for Sequence Classification

- \mathbf{x} = Entire sequence / document of length n
- y = (Multivariate) labels
- Pass x through the RNN one word at a time generating a new hidden state at each time step
- Hidden state for the last token of the text, h_n is a compressed representation of the entire sequence
- Pass h_n to a feedforward network (or multilayer perceptron) that chooses a class via a softmax over the possible classes
- Better sequence representations?
 - could also average all \mathbf{h}_i 's or
 - consider the maximum element along each dimension







Training RNNs for Sequence Classification

- Don't need intermediate outputs for the words in the sequence preceding the last element
- Loss function used to train the weights in the network is based entirely on the final text classification task

Cross-entropy loss

• Backprop: error signal from the classification is backpropagated all the way through the weights in the feedforward classifier through, to its input, and then through to the three sets of weights in the RNN

Viterbi

 $\hat{y} = P(+ve | \mathbf{x})$ $\mathbf{W}^{[2]}$ \mathbf{W}_h $|\mathbf{W}_h|$ \mathbf{W}_h h_2


movie sucks


 $\mathbf{W}^{[1]}$

This

 $L(\theta)$








- Similar to sampling from *n*-gram LMs
- First randomly sample a word to begin a sequence based on its suitability as the start of a sequence
- Then continue to sample words conditioned on our previous choices until
 - we reach a pre-determined length,
 - or an end of sequence token is generated




Generation with RNNLMs

Remember sampling from *n*-gram LMs?

- 1. Choose a random bigram (<s>, w) according to its probability
- 2. Now choose a random bigram (w, x)according to its probability...and so on until we choose </s>







 $\hat{y}_4 = P(x_5 | \text{Let the good ones})$

Generation with RNNLMs

- 1. Sample a word in the output from the softmax distribution that results from using the beginning of sentence marker, <s>, as the first input.
- 2. Use the word embedding for that first word as the input to the network at the next time step, and then sample the next word in the same fashion.
- 3. Continue generating until the end of sentence marker, </s>, is sampled or a fixed length limit is reached.

Repeated sampling of the next word conditioned on previous choices

Autoregressive Generation



RNNLMs are Autoregressive Models

- Model that predicts a value at time t based on a function of the previous values at times t-1, t-2, and so on
- Word generated at each time step is conditioned on the word selected by the network from the previous step
- Machine translation, question answering, summarization
- State-of-the-art generation approaches are all autoregressive! • Key technique: prime the generation with the most suitable **context**



Can do better than <s>!

Provide rich task-appropriate context!


(Neural) Machine Translation

- Sequence Generation Problem (as opposed to sequence classification)
 - $\mathbf{x} =$ Source sequence of length n
 - y = Target sequence of length m
- Different from regular generation from an LM
 - Since we expect the target sequence to serve a specific utility (translate the source)

Sequence-to-Sequence (Seq2seq)




Provide rich task-appropriate context!





Sequence-to-Sequence Generation



• Mapping between a token in the input and a token in the output can be very indirect • in some languages the verb appears at the beginning of the sentence; e.g. Arabic,

- Hawaiian
- in other languages at the end; e.g. Hindi
- in other languages between the subject and the object; e.g. English
- Does not necessarily align in a word-word way!



Need a special architecture to summarize the entire context!

Sequence-to-Sequence Models

- Models capable of generating contextually appropriate, arbitrary length, output sequences given an input sequence.
- input sequence and creates a contextualized representation of it, often called the context.
- output sequence.



• The key idea underlying these networks is the use of an encoder network that takes an

• This representation is then passed to a **decoder network** which generates a task- specific

Encoder-Decoder Networks



Lecture Outline

- Announcements
- Recap: Recurrent Neural Nets
- Applications of RNNs
- Seq2Seq Modeling with Encoder-Decoder Networks
- Attention Mechanism
- More on Attention
- Transformers: Self-Attention Networks



Sequence-to-Sequence Modeling with Encoder-Decoder Networks



Encoder-Decoder Networks

 x_1

Encoder-decoder networks consist of three components:


- 1. An encoder that accepts an input sequence, $\mathbf{x}_{1:n}$ and generates a corresponding sequence of contextualized representations, $\mathbf{h}_{1}^{e} \dots \mathbf{h}_{n}^{e}$
- 2. A encoding vector, **c** which is a function of $\mathbf{h}_1^e \dots \mathbf{h}_n^e$ and conveys the essence of the input to the decoder
- 3. A **decoder** which accepts **c** as input and generates an arbitrary length sequence of hidden states $\mathbf{h}_1^d \dots \mathbf{h}_m^d$, from which a corresponding sequence of output states $\mathbf{y}_{1:m}$ can be obtained

Encoders and decoders can be made of FFNNs, RNNs, or Transformers



 y_1 y_2 Decoder $\mathbf{h}_1^d \dots \mathbf{h}_m^d$ Encoding C Encoder $\mathbf{h}_{1}^{e} \dots \mathbf{h}_{n}^{e}$ X_n X_2 • • •

y_m ↑




Source Sentence **x**



Language Model that produces the target sentence conditioned on the encoding

ecoder RNN





Source Sentence X



negative log


negative log prob. of "</s>"

Target Sentence y





Decoder RNN



Source Sentence X




Decoder RNN

– Ray Mooney, Professor of Computer Science, UT Austin




"you can't cram the meaning of a whole % 5@ # 5 ing sentence into a single \$* (§@ing vector!"

Information Bottleneck: One Solution







What if we had access to all hidden states?

How to create this?



Lecture Outline

- Announcements
- Recap: Recurrent Neural Nets
- Applications of RNNs
- Seq2Seq Modeling with Encoder-Decoder Networks
- Attention Mechanism
- More on Attention
- Transformers: Self-Attention Networks





Attention Mechanism

- Attention mechanisms allow the decoder to focus on a particular part of the source sequence at each time step
- Fixed-length vector \mathbf{c}_{t}^{att} (attention context vector)
 - Take a weighted sum of all the encoder hidden states
 - One vector per time step of the decoder!
 - Weights attend to part of the source text relevant for the token the decoder is producing at step t
- In general, we have a single **query** vector and multiple key vectors.

We want to score each query-key pair


Note: Notation different from J&M



query








Source Sentence x

Bahdanau et al., 2015






Source Sentence **x**

Note: Notation different from J&M

38



Dot product attention



Source Sentence **x**



On this decoder tilmestep we are mostly focusing on the source token "arrived"

 $\exp \mathbf{h}_t^d \cdot \mathbf{h}_j^e$

$$\alpha_t = \mathbf{softmax}(\mathbf{score}(\mathbf{h}_t^d, \mathbf{h}_i^e)) \in \Delta^N$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t,j} = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp \mathbf{h}_{t}^{d} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{n}^{e}}$$

$$\mathbf{score}(\mathbf{h}_t^d, \mathbf{h}_j^e) = \mathbf{h}_t^d \cdot \mathbf{h}_j^e$$

 \mathbf{h}_0^d


< s >

Take softmax to turn into probability distribution









Source Sentence **x**



Use the attention distribution to take a weighted sum of the encoder hidden states.

 $\alpha_t = \operatorname{softmax}(\operatorname{score}(\mathbf{h}_t^d, \mathbf{h}_i^e)) \in \Delta^N$

 $score(\mathbf{h}_t^d, \mathbf{h}_i^e) = \mathbf{h}_t^d \cdot \mathbf{h}_i^e$

 \mathbf{h}_0^d

< s >


The attention output mostly contains information the hidden states that received high attention.

Note: Notation different from J&M










Source Sentence **x**

USCViterbi

Note: Notation different from J&M







Source Sentence **x**

USCViterbi


Note: Notation different from J&M






- Attention significantly **improves** neural machine translation **performance**
 - Very useful to allow decoder to focus on certain parts of the source
- Attention solves the information bottleneck problem
 - Attention allows decoder to look directly at source; bypass bottleneck
- Attention helps with vanishing gradient problem
 - Provides shortcut to faraway states
- Attention provides some interpretability
 - By inspecting attention distribution, we can see what the decoder was focusing on \rightarrow
 - We get alignment for free! We never explicitly trained an alignment system! The network just learned alignment by itself

Viterbi











Seq2Seq Summary

- Seq2Seq modeling is popular for close-ended generation tasks
 - MT, Summarization, QA
 - Involves an encoder and a decoder • Can be any neural architecture!
- Popular Seq2Seq Models using Transformers: BART, T5
- Secret Sauce: Attention

<end>

Next: Self-Attention and Transformers





